

J. WESTON  
**WALCH**  
PUBLISHER  
Portland, Maine

# Solving <sup>1</sup> More Common Writing Problems



**Sharon Hamilton**

# Contents

|   |             |
|---|-------------|
| <i>For the Student</i> . . . . .          | <i>vii</i>  |
| <i>Thinking on Paper</i> . . . . .        | <i>vii</i>  |
| <i>Writing a Rough Draft</i> . . . . .    | <i>viii</i> |
| <i>Becoming Your Own Editor</i> . . . . . | <i>ix</i>   |
| <i>Editing Symbols</i> . . . . .          | <i>xi</i>   |
| <i>For the Teacher</i> . . . . .          | <i>xiii</i> |
| <i>Grading Papers</i> . . . . .           | <i>xiii</i> |
| <i>Returning Papers</i> . . . . .         | <i>xv</i>   |
| <i>Revising Papers</i> . . . . .          | <i>xvii</i> |
| <i>Using This Book</i> . . . . .          | <i>xix</i>  |

| <b>Category</b>                   | <b>Page</b> |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Clarity . . . . .                 | 1           |
| Cliché . . . . .                  | 5           |
| Coherence . . . . .               | 8           |
| Using critical sources . . . . .  | 13          |
| Development . . . . .             | 14          |
| Diction . . . . .                 | 22          |
| Fulfilling expectations . . . . . | 26          |
| Avoiding lazy habits . . . . .    | 28          |
| Mixed metaphor . . . . .          | 29          |
| Finding models . . . . .          | 32          |
| Paragraphing . . . . .            | 33          |
| Plagiarism . . . . .              | 39          |
| Using quotations . . . . .        | 46          |
| Reading actively . . . . .        | 53          |
| Redundancy . . . . .              | 55          |
| Repetition . . . . .              | 58          |
| Verb tense . . . . .              | 61          |

**CONTENTS**

| <b>Category</b>                   | <b>Page</b> |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Thesis . . . . .                  | 65          |
| Thesis paragraph . . . . .        | 70          |
| Title of your own paper . . . . . | 73          |
| Transition . . . . .              | 76          |
| Vague word . . . . .              | 81          |
| Wrong word . . . . .              | 84          |
| <i>Answer Key</i> . . . . .       | 87          |

| <b>Category</b>                   | <b>Page</b> |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| <b>The Big Criteria</b>           |             |
| Clarity . . . . .                 | 1           |
| Coherence . . . . .               | 8           |
| Using critical sources . . . . .  | 13          |
| Development . . . . .             | 14          |
| Fulfilling expectations . . . . . | 26          |
| Paragraphing . . . . .            | 33          |
| Plagiarism . . . . .              | 39          |
| Using quotations . . . . .        | 46          |
| Thesis . . . . .                  | 65          |
| Thesis paragraph . . . . .        | 70          |
| Transition . . . . .              | 76          |

| Category                          | Page |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| <b>Word Choice</b>                |      |
| Cliché . . . . .                  | 5    |
| Diction . . . . .                 | 22   |
| Mixed metaphor . . . . .          | 29   |
| Redundancy . . . . .              | 55   |
| Repetition . . . . .              | 58   |
| Verb tense . . . . .              | 61   |
| Title of your own paper . . . . . | 73   |
| Vague word . . . . .              | 81   |
| Wrong word . . . . .              | 84   |
| <b>Becoming Your Own Teacher</b>  |      |
| Avoiding lazy habits . . . . .    | 28   |
| Finding models . . . . .          | 32   |
| Reading actively . . . . .        | 53   |

## For the Student

Watch a second-grader frowning in concentration over the story he's printing letter by letter. Look over the shoulder of a middle-schooler writing her best friend a seven-page letter in different-colored pens. Remember the last time, in the middle of recording a thought, you had that magic sensation of liftoff, of flying above the person hunched over the notebook or the word processor into some realm of the imagination. Writing can be a happy act. Like all art, it offers the chance to concentrate absolutely and to escape the confines of self.

Now remember the last time that writing was not pleasure but agony. You're staring at your computer screen, palms damp and eyes aching. The clock is ticking, crumpled pages surround the wastebasket, and you've just deleted the last hour's stiff sentences. What has gone wrong? Self-consciousness has set in. Instead of focusing on what you want to say, perhaps imagining the face of your ideal reader, you're watching yourself write. That can be as disconcerting as listening to yourself speak. Your inspiration has evaporated, and you feel like a cartoon character who's just run off a cliff and remembers that he can't fly. The reason may be that you're thinking of the paper as the teacher's or the editor's, not your own, and trying to get it over with. You haven't given yourself enough chance to be spontaneous—to experiment, to go off on tangents, to dream.

### Thinking on Paper

Instead, why not try to re-create the conditions that make writing a pleasure? Give up the idea that the ideal paper is a one-draft wonder. Sometimes one draft is enough, if the piece is short and the focus narrow. But that's a happy accident, not a method. The purpose of putting your first words on a page should be to explore as many possibilities as you can—to figure out what you feel about the topic. Invent ways to help yourself think on paper: Describe a character in a string of adjectives; try stating the main point of your argument; pick a relevant word—patriotism, Ophelia, fear; set your alarm for fifteen minutes and write nonstop. Forget about spelling and grammar; just keep that pen moving. And keep asking yourself questions: What does this word mean? Is this speaker sincere? Why is the writer using that tone? How else could this plot have ended? What am I trying to prove? And how can I explain it, not to my teacher but to a bright classmate? This is free writing. Listen to Charles Darwin, who had the rare distinction of being both an innovative scientist and an accomplished humanist, as he describes his own discovery of its value:

Formerly I used to think about my sentences before writing them down; but for several years I have found that it saves time to scribble in a vile hand, whole pages as quickly as I can, contracting half the words; and then correct deliberately. Sentences thus scribbled down are often better ones than I could have written deliberately.

(*Charles Darwin*, ed. Francis Darwin, 1892, p. 53)

In other words, the first step should be to generate notes, not finished copy. Then go away from the task for a while—go to soccer practice or do your math or wash the dishes. Your subconscious mind will continue to work on the paper. You may get an idea for it while you're running laps or falling asleep. If you do, write it down as soon as you can and add it to the earlier notes. When you come back to that material, it may strike you as either inspired or dull, ample or incomplete. You may decide that you need another note-taking session, to take notes on your notes. Even if not a single word from this process ends up in the final draft, the very act of writing will create confidence. You will have taken the first—and scariest—step: conquering the terror of the blank page. You will prove to yourself that you have something to say, and you may be curious about where it will lead. Your energy can go into how to link your thoughts instead of into producing and connecting and polishing them all at once.

## Writing a Rough Draft

A rough draft is the unpolished version of your paper, like the sketch for a painting. Some words will still be wrong, some paragraphs will be out of order, some examples will be incomplete, but the general shape and content will be those of the final paper. The rough draft will be based at least in part on the lists and notes and paragraphs from the prewriting process. Before beginning to write it, look at that material: Is there some central idea that stands out? Can you express it in a clearer form now? Can you number the ideas in order of importance or, if you are writing about a work of fiction, of time? Can you see gaps in the reasoning process, examples that need filling in? Think of the paper not as a mold into which you pour ideas but as a design. Play with several different forms that it might take. Try out different beginnings and endings.

Now decide where to begin writing the paper, which is not necessarily at the beginning. Sometimes it's better to save a thesis paragraph or an opening scene for later, especially if you're vague about where a paper is going. To clarify that direction, try starting with a section that you feel sure about. Put any notes that could apply to that part in rough order, and keep glancing at them as you write.

The paper may change and grow—one idea can inspire another, and the final version may resemble only slightly the one that you first envisioned. You may get Darwin’s sense that you’re not so much thinking and recording as watching your hand skim over the page or the keyboard; two hours go by, and the rough draft has nearly written itself. If that small miracle happens, go along with it: Once the inspiration is interrupted, it may be impossible to get it back. But if your energy runs out, don’t try to force it or to dash off the rest of the paper just to tell yourself it’s finished. The strain will show. Instead, take a break and come back when you’re feeling fresh. When you begin writing again, you may want to jump in at a new point—for example, with that elusive first paragraph. But don’t count on having another flight right away. Most good writing depends more on perspiration than inspiration, as Thomas Edison said of “genius.”

### **Becoming Your Own Editor**

After the rough draft seems complete, take another break from it. Come back when you are feeling alert and critical. You’re about to switch roles, from writer to editor. This part of the writing process has its pleasures, too, like shaping a dance routine: the pride, maybe even the surprise, at what you’ve already accomplished, the challenge of polishing it, the satisfaction of seeing it take a more graceful form. Try to read the piece as if someone else had written it: If it is an essay, does it have a thesis—a strong central point? Does every paragraph begin with a topic sentence that develops that thesis? Are the supporting examples relevant? Are the quotations brief and smoothly integrated into your own sentences? If it is a story, does it show something moving and convincing about people? Does it overstate a point or tell too much—become more essay than story? Are there any parts that sound unclear or incomplete or out of place?

Every writer has to see his or her work through this stage. A published piece looks so inevitable that it’s easy to believe it just flowed from the author’s pen. But pages from writers’ notebooks are full of crossed-out words, inserted sentences, and relocated paragraphs—like most of ours. There is no formula, no generic best style. If there were, Charles Dickens would be indistinguishable from Emily Dickinson, and books might as well be turned out by the novel-writing machines that George Orwell describes in *1984*. What every writer has to offer is a unique experience—whether of reading Shakespeare or of falling in love—told in his or her own voice. Your written voice should be a polished version of your spoken voice, as individual and as bold.

The final step in the writing process is to do that polishing: to proofread for the mechanical errors and stylistic faults that distract your reader and lessen your authority. Are there places where you’re not sure of a spelling, a semicolon, a

sentence structure? Try to resist the temptation to make up a rule for some point that you're not sure about—for example, “Uh, where does the comma go in this sentence? I know: I'll put it after the noun—no, after every time I take a breath. That should do it.” (Wrong.) Then you follow the made-up principle, all the time knowing that you're not only avoiding the search for the right answer but also making it twice as hard to learn once you've found it. If your teacher makes no comment on that point, you still won't be sure: Maybe he just didn't notice. If she marks something that you could have fixed, it's a waste of her time and yours. Instead, look up the correct form in a dictionary or a handbook like this one. That takes effort, but it teaches you much more than just squinting and gliding past a possible error. In other words, make wiser use of your editing self: Hand in your best work and aim to make it even better.

To be an accomplished writer, you do not have to take a formal course or know how to name every error. But you do need a sense for when something is either incorrect or ineffective, and some idea of how to improve it. *Solving Common Writing Problems*, like its companion guide, *Solving More Common Writing Problems*, can help: to categorize problems, to make you see them as patterns rather than as isolated examples, to suggest rules that keep you from falling into those traps again, to show you when there are choices about how to express an idea. The books present a consensus on what constitutes clear and effective writing in our own time and place. *Solving Common Writing Problems* concentrates on the criteria for correctness, the copy editor's usual province: punctuation, spelling, and syntax. *Solving More Common Writing Problems* focuses on the larger conceptual issues, such as clarity, coherence, and development, as well as on effective word choice. It also includes a section on becoming your own editor. The main purpose of both books is to encourage confidence that what you have to say is worth hearing and pride in mastering the skills to say it well.

—Sharon Hamilton  
Chair, English Department  
Buckingham Browne & Nichols School  
Cambridge, Massachusetts



## Editing Symbols

|        |                                       |                   |                                      |
|--------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Agr1   | Agreement: noun-pronoun               | “ ”               | Quotation marks                      |
| Agr2   | Agreement: subject-verb               | Quot              | Using quotations                     |
| Ap     | Apostrophe                            | Read              | Reading actively                     |
| Awk    | Awkward                               | Red               | Redundancy                           |
| Cap    | Capitalization                        | Ref               | Pronoun reference                    |
| Clar   | Clarity                               | Rep               | Repetition                           |
| Cliché | Cliché                                | RO                | Run-on sentence                      |
| Coh    | Coherence                             | ;/                | Semicolon                            |
| :/     | Colon                                 | Shift             | Shifted construction                 |
| ,/     | Comma                                 | Sp                | Spelling error                       |
| Coor   | Weak coordination                     | Sub               | Weak subordination                   |
| Crit   | Using critical sources                | Syll              | Syllable break                       |
| DM, MM | Dangling modifier; Misplaced modifier | T                 | Verb tense                           |
| --/    | Dash                                  | Thesis            | Thesis                               |
| Dev    | Development                           | Thesis ¶          | Thesis paragraph                     |
| D      | Diction                               | Title 1           | Titles of published works            |
| Emph   | Emphasis                              | Title 2           | Title of your own paper              |
| Expec  | Fulfilling expectations               | Trans             | Transition                           |
| Frag   | Sentence fragment                     | Vague             | Vague word                           |
| Hyph   | Hyphen                                | Var               | Sentence variety                     |
| Lazy   | Avoiding lazy habits                  | Wdy               | Wordy                                |
| Met    | Mixed metaphor                        | WW                | Wrong word                           |
| Mod    | Finding models                        | X                 | Proofreading error                   |
| Num    | Numbers                               | <del>h</del>      | Small letter needed ( <i>h</i> )     |
| ¶      | Paragraphing                          | <u>h</u>          | Capital letter needed ( <i>H</i> )   |
| Para   | Parallelism                           | redieve           | Transpose letters ( <i>receive</i> ) |
| Pass   | Passive voice                         | yourname          | Space needed between words           |
| Pp     | Page reference                        | ^                 | Insert a word or a letter            |
| Plag   | Plagiarism                            | <del>really</del> | Delete word(s)                       |

## For the Teacher

Young writers need encouragement as well as criticism. When I started teaching, I marked only the errors in the margins of students' papers, saving any praise for the comment at the end. Returning papers, I was puzzled by the looks of dismay even from students who had succeeded on an assignment. Later I discovered that all that had gotten through to them was the negative feedback. Often they were not sure of what they had done right—of why a particular word choice was effective or an argument subtle. I had assumed that, having written it, they already knew. But writing, like any craft, depends partly on training. Novices tend to dismiss the worth of instinctive skill because it comes easily to them. At the opposite extreme, they may strain after an effect—a big word, a mixed metaphor—because it does not come naturally. Especially at the beginning, writers need an objective evaluation of their work that credits what they are already doing well. “For the Student” discusses the process of writing a paper—recording ideas, shaping and expanding them, polishing the draft. This section concentrates on the role of the teacher in evaluating that work.

### Grading Papers

Students need to be assured that there is no formula for good writing, that style is as individualized as vocal tone. The student's task is to discover his or her best style; the teacher's is to guide that effort. In other words, the aim of a writing class should not be to train everyone to sound alike—to write like the star student or like Joan Didion or Samuel Johnson or the teacher. How can a teacher signal quickly and efficiently to students that they are writing well? I use a technique first suggested to me by my late husband, who was also an English teacher: to comment in different colors. I underline in green ink the strongest parts of a paper—a word, a sentence, a whole paragraph.

Any part that needs revising I mark in red. Sometimes, for example, a whole sentence is in green except for one word—an extraneous “very,” a misused term. Sometimes a longer phrase is marked in red—a redundancy, a cliché. I categorize the writing problems according to the symbols on page *xi* (for example, “Red” for “Redundancy”) and write the symbol in the margin. Sections that are adequate—neither ineffective nor outstanding—I leave alone. To lighten the tone of this process, and to remind students that an actual person is reading their work, I also draw a smiley face by any line that amuses me. That is an appreciative editor's note. More rarely, I draw a frowning face at a terrible pun or an outrageous stance. Students look for those little cartoons and often add their own versions to notes

they write to me. I once overheard two boys comparing the short stories I had just returned: “Hey, I got a smiley face!” “Oh, yeah? I got three.”

As I read a paper, I make a list of its positive and negative qualities, usually in two columns headed “plus” and “minus.” For the final comment, I use that list to summarize the paper’s strengths and weaknesses—this time in blue ink. I used to do the final comment in red, until a beset student told me that, no matter how strong the words of praise, the message of the red ink prevailed. When I have finished, my student and I can get an overview of the paper at a glance, with the green sections serving as inspiration. The writer therefore becomes his or her own model stylist: John can see the best John parts, Rachel can see the best Rachel effects, and each can attempt to emulate those in the future.

The final step is to assign the paper a grade: a letter that summarizes the balance between its strengths and weaknesses. I used to find that that little letter was the part of the comment I hesitated over longest and was most prone to regret. In other words, I am usually surer about how to evaluate a paper than about what grade to assign it. The reason, I have come to realize, is that no paper exists in a vacuum: Grades are comparative. They are based on three different and sometimes conflicting standards. First, there is the absolute value of the piece of writing—an ideal of coherence, development, articulateness, and mechanical correctness. The second criterion is the way that past students have performed on similar assignments. Finally, I must take into account the response of the current class to the assignment.

The comparative nature of grades gets confirmed for any teacher who has read SAT II or English Advanced Placement essays for the College Board. The Educational Testing Service trains readers to arrive at common standards by having them compare their grades on a series of actual responses to the essay question they are rating. Most teachers begin by grading either too high or too low—by having either exaggerated or condescending expectations. Only after rating and comparing twenty to twenty-five actual essays, and noting the marks of their fellow readers and the ETS Chief Readers, do the teachers begin grading essays on their own. Throughout the week of marathon reading, the leaders institute several checks on the process, always involving an evaluation of an actual student sample. As much as possible, they try to dispel the effects of fatigue, tedium, and random selection.

On class sets of papers, the conditions are different: No teacher has the range or number of writers that an ETS reading involves, but each does have the context of his or her students’ abilities and of the preparation that went into the assignment. Still, the comparative method can work. I usually start by reading two or three papers by strong students, to get a sense of the top range, and then a few by

struggling writers. In my final comment, I try to be fair but frank, to ignore predetermined expectations and to meet each paper on its own grounds. I assign the grade—more often, a range of grades—in light pencil. Sometimes I have to read an entire set, and reread some of the early papers, before committing myself to a grade on a particular paper. Meanwhile, I keep a list of problems and successes—a whole-class equivalent of the scratch outline I make for individual papers. As I read, I am developing a sense of the class's overall performance on the assignment.

## Returning Papers

I used to return papers quickly and quietly at the end of a class. The communication between the student and me was entirely through the written word and directed only at the individual. The return also marked the end of the assignment: That paper was declared finished, and we went on to the next. I sensed how teacher-centered and incomplete that process was: Discouraged students sometimes did no more than skim my labored-over comment, grimace at the grade, and toss the paper into the wastebasket on the way out of class. Their next paper would have many of the same faults as the previous one. Occasionally, though, someone would stay after class to ask about a comment, explain a choice of word or organization, or arrange to do a revision. I noticed that those writers often made substantial progress. I asked myself how I could use what they were doing to help more of my students improve.

Now when I return a set of papers, I explain the criteria that I used for judging them. I also explain that the grade is a summary, a compromise: a “B” may be based on different factors for different papers. One may have imaginative ideas but incoherent organization, another a subtle thesis but thin supporting evidence, a third strong content but an awkward or error-ridden style.

I also try to make every submission of papers a celebration of student writing. The means depends on the kind of assignment. For a personal essay or a short story, I sometimes read the first sentence from three successful ones and ask the class to vote for the entire paper that they are most eager to hear. We talk about the “hook” in each of the three opening sentences. Then the paper that got the most votes is read aloud. I prefer that the writer do the reading, both so that I can hear his or her inflections and so that I can note the reactions of the class. Often, the delivery of a key line or the rapt attention of the other students has increased my own appreciation. If the writer wants to remain anonymous, however, a student volunteer or I will read the paper.

Analytical essays are usually too hard for students to take in when they are read aloud, especially in their entirety. So I ask students to pair up, usually with

someone who has written on a similar topic, read the partner's paper, and then write a comment and sign it. The comment should be kept simple—one positive and one negative point. Or it may take the form of a writing workshop kind of response: “What I hear you saying is . . .,” “What I still want to know is . . .” This method is called peer editing. For the reader, it provides a specific example of a classmate's work and puts him or her in the position of the teacher who must grade the paper. In other words, it promotes empathy for both the other student and the teacher. For the writer, the value depends on the astuteness of the peer editor. As a check on the process, I mark the comment when I read the paper, underlining in green any point that I agree with, and in red any that I think is mistaken.

A third method of celebration, particularly for expository essays, is to share strong excerpts from several of them. As I read a set of papers, I keep a list of effective sections: e.g., “strong thesis, Beth, Jim,” “good use of quotations, Mike, p. 3, Ali, p. 2.” Some excellent writers could model virtually any aspect, so I try to save their work for the most subtle or demanding. After I finish grading, I go back and choose the best excerpts from several papers, anything from a sentence to an entire paragraph, trying especially hard to include one from a student who has been struggling. I mark the strong sections with brackets and then number them in a sequence that creates, roughly, a collective essay: thesis paragraph from one or two papers, clear topic sentence from another, fine supporting details from a third, all the way down to variations on the conclusion. After students have read the excerpts aloud, I tell them: “This is all ‘A’ material.” I also assure them that no one student could be expected to generate all of it, but at least now they have models for what is possible. Besides allowing for peer inspiration, this technique also provides a chance for recognition. Reading even a single good sentence to appreciative classmates can give a student confidence. Finally, I encourage writers to submit strong papers for publication in the school literary magazine or in one of the numerous journals or contests that accept student writing.

Students may not always be pleased with their grades, but they should end up feeling that their grades are fair, based on clear criteria and applied objectively to everyone. As I once heard a speaker say at a writing workshop, like them or hate them, grades are the currency in which teachers and students deal. If we cheapen that currency by being too lenient or by failing to specify the standards on which it is based, we deny students the chance to gauge the true value of their work.

## Revising Papers

Students also need to profit from their mistakes: to correct errors, expand on ideas, learn from direct experience that writing is a process. Few pieces are finished in a single draft: The exception is work written in class—tests or full-period essays. I do not ask students to correct such papers because, in later life as in school, writers must let some work go after one draft. I do find, though, that in-class writing gets more fluent and increasingly correct as students revise their outside work. The red marks in the margin and the final comment show students what needs changing. To guide them, I require one of two different levels of revision. The choice depends on the nature of the assignment and the schedule.

On all papers done at home, the minimum requirement is to correct errors in style and mechanics—any fault that can be fixed in one sentence or less. The process works like this: On the original paper, students number each error that I have marked. Since all are keyed to *Solving Common Writing Problems* and *Solving More Common Writing Problems*, the students' first responsibility is to look up the description of the error and the explanations for ways to correct it. Then, either on a separate sheet of paper or on a new word-processed draft, they name the faulty mark of punctuation, word, or sentence; give it the same number as the original; and write it correctly. See "Using This Book" for specific examples. Thus, students learn to become their own copy editors.

If there are symbols that they do not understand or do not agree with, they may write a question or a comment at that number. This correction format provides for a dialogue on paper, of the same sort that takes place between a professional writer and an editor. The corrections are due within two class periods of the day that I return the paper. Next, I correct the corrections—check or spot-check them and mark in red any that still need revision. Students get an effort grade—anything from a 0 if they do not turn in the assignment to a + if they do a thorough and accurate job. This mark does not alter their grade on the paper, but it does count in the effort component of the semester grade. A variation on this technique is to give a grade on the paper only after the corrections have been done.

The higher level of rewriting involves not simply correction but also revision: making such major changes as strengthening the thesis, adding to the supporting evidence, improving the coherence, developing a new conclusion, areas that are the focus of *Solving More Common Writing Problems*. In other words, "revision" means making changes that create a more substantial, more logical draft. In the process, students must also do "corrections"—fix the small faults. I make the complete rewrite optional. My reasoning is that nearly all students can benefit from correcting their errors. But, after several years of requiring everyone to do

rewrites and then trying to cope with the lackluster results, I admitted that only those truly engaged in a particular paper benefit. Since my own time is limited, I would rather invest it in writing that has a solid effort behind it. Students have a week from the day that the paper is returned to submit the new draft, which they turn in along with the original. The final grade, based partly on the degree of improvement, is the average of the grades on the original paper and the revision.

The rewrite process does not always go smoothly. Some students make such minimal changes that they constitute, in effect, copy editing rather than rewriting. If that happens, I note it in the comment and give credit only for corrections. In rare cases, students misunderstand a directive and produce a “revision” that is not as strong as the original. But that, too, is a learning experience. The main objective is for students to become more aware of their own stylistic patterns and better able to act as their own editors.

The next stage is providing additional practice on errors that persist. At the beginning, students often see a paper as a convoluted whole and so feel overwhelmed by the writing process. As with learning any new skill, they need to have it broken down into its components and to master it in stages. Simply putting clear labels on problems allows students to see them as distinct entities—the first step in learning to edit them. For example, the first time that a teacher wrote “wordy” on one of my papers, I had no idea what she meant. Once she explained how including excess words could cloud meaning, I became more conscious of that tendency in my style. I began to look for models of conciseness, in my own writing and in others’, and to strive, especially in the revision stage, for terseness.

When I became a teacher myself, I felt overwhelmed in turn by the barriers to clear meaning in my students’ papers. Wordiness I could recognize, but what could I say about the first sentence in the first paper that I attempted to grade: “The English language is an interesting conversation piece”? *Now* I would realize that the student had taken on too grandiose a topic—I had made the assignment too broad—and was trying to mask his insecurity with verbiage: a vague compliment (“interesting”—*Vague word*) and an impressive phrase (“conversation piece”) that he did not understand (*Wrong word*). But it took many years of teaching before I could recognize such writing problems and devise guidelines to help students correct them. I needed a book that would support that undertaking: provide brief descriptions of the errors my students were making and clear explanations of how to correct them. Many of the textbooks I tried were too complicated and too heavy for easy access. Nor did they provide the additional exercises that writers need to reinforce rules and concepts. *Solving Common Writing Problems* and its companion guide grew out of the attempt to serve those needs, for myself and for other teachers.

## Using This Book

*Solving More Common Writing Problems* has two main purposes: For all students, it is meant to categorize and describe common faults in style and usage and to suggest strategies for writing more effectively. For some, it can also provide additional help on persistent problems. In practice, that means that the teacher keys comments on papers to the alphabetized index at the beginning of the book. All students should be provided with a copy of that list. This volume covers the large criteria for good writing, such as developing ideas with sufficient supporting evidence (*Dev*) or presenting them in coherent order (*Coh*). It also includes problems of word choice, such as redundancy (*Red*) and verb tense (*T*). Some teachers will want to reproduce it in sections, as they apply to the needs of individual students or of a class. Others may prefer to use it as a textbook, and order copies for their classes or sets for their school.

On returning a set of papers, the teacher should call attention to a problem that is widespread. For example, “Check to see if this symbol, ‘Coh,’ is written on your paper. Now, who can tell me what it stands for?” The teacher can then cite some examples from the papers, and ask everyone to read and discuss the relevant section of the book. Full credit for the revision process should require paying special attention to coherence. Periodic tests and the final exam should contain examples of lapses in coherent order for students to correct. For example, the class might be asked to list the most logical sequence for five jumbled sentences in a paragraph.

The other type of writing problem concerns a point of style or syntax. As with the larger criteria, when a student finds a particular correction symbol in the margin of the paper, the first step is to look up the description of the problem in this book. For example, one student wrote: “When the ghost of Banquo appears, Macbeth begins acting like a wimp.” I underlined “wimp” in red and wrote “D” (for “Diction”) in the margin. The student then found “D” in the list of correction symbols. After reading the whole description, he located the section relevant to this error—word choice that is too informal for the context. He numbered the red symbol on his paper, on a separate sheet wrote the same number, the word “Diction,” and the corrected form of the word—“coward.” An alternative format is for the student to word process and correct the new copy, again numbering both drafts. The teacher then checks or spot-checks the corrections, for both accuracy and completeness. On the next page is another example:



Original: Jim's ridiculous *antidote* was totally silly. (1) WW (2) Red

Method one: (1) wrong word: anecdote

(2) redundancy: Jim's anecdote was ridiculous.

Method two: Jim's anecdote (wrong word) was ridiculous (redundancy).

But one correction is seldom sufficient to teach students to avoid an error. Since one's writing style comprises many facets and is the product of an entire thought process, it often takes repeated practice to alter set patterns. If a student is unable to do one kind of correction, or if the error recurs on subsequent papers, he or she should also be assigned a practice exercise on that problem. If, as is often the case, several problems occur, it is generally more effective to choose one for more intensive practice than to try to deal with all at the same time. After the teacher checks the supplementary exercise, the student may need to correct additional errors or to have a brief conference on any examples that continue to be puzzling.

If several students are having a particular problem—for example, redundancy—the teacher might set a “challenge of the week,” introducing the rules on successive Fridays. For example, the first week might stress the redundancy that comes from needless explanation of a point that has just been shown: “‘Stop that!’ she exclaimed angrily and excitedly”; “The huge man tried to squeeze his large body into the small chair that was too little for him to sit on.” Once a concept had been introduced, errors in it would be marked in special ink—say, orange—on future papers. After all the major rules had been introduced, the class would have a quiz, based on the practice exercise. The skill would be tested again on a final exam.

An alternative approach is group work for several students who are experiencing the same writing problem. For example, three students who persist in using clichés would do a practice exercise as homework. In class, they would be given time to compare their answers and to check with the teacher about any remaining uncertainties. They would then teach the concept to the class, including some of the examples from the practice exercise. The concept and practice of avoiding clichés would be tested later on a quiz and a final exam.

In other words, the means of using this book will vary with the needs of the teacher and the class. Some students may do no more than consult the book on a few key problems. Others will use it repeatedly, and do several of the supplementary exercises as well. Some teachers will need the book primarily to clarify and reinforce points made in class. Others will use it for help with several skills or concepts. Some will find the method of reproducing individual pages sufficient. Others will want each student to have a bound copy of the text. I anticipate this variety based on my own experience in teaching writing over

several years to students of different ability levels: Sometimes it was not until I saw a fault described that I was aware it existed. Sometimes I understood the definition of a problem but needed several clear examples to demonstrate how it might be corrected. The combination of focusing on problems that the class as a whole is having and of working with individual students should allow the teacher flexibility. All these uses are meant to further the goals introduced in the essay “For the Student” that begins this book: to recognize that writing is not a single skill but a complex process. It must be mastered gradually, ideally without losing the enthusiasm and pride of accomplishment that mark our first childish attempts at it. *Solving Common Writing Problems* and *Solving More Common Writing Problems* were written in the hope of making that mastery more sure, for students and their teachers.

## 12. Plagiarism

Plagiarism means presenting another person’s words, organization of material, or ideas as though they were your own. The term applies whether or not the attempt to plagiarize is successful and whether it is due to intentional deceit, carelessness, or misunderstanding. It refers not only to written works but also to songs, paintings, sculptures, computer programs, web sites, and films. You must give credit for any aspect of a work that you have not invented. Putting your name on a paper, a poem, a sketch, etc., is your pledge that, except where specified, it is completely your own work.

### Crediting a Source

Most writers honestly attempt to give credit for another author’s work. But they run into trouble if they have too vague an understanding of plagiarism or if they take careless notes.

1. To avoid plagiarizing, you must do more than not copy someone else’s sentence or paragraph word for word. Even footnoting a quoted passage is not enough. You must credit even a single word, if it is characteristic of the author’s style or crucial to your meaning:

What impresses Huck Finn most about Mary Jane Wilkes is her “sand” (161)—her courage and integrity.

Hamlet is so depressed that he sees the world as “an unweeded garden” (1.2.135).

Notice that “credit” means putting a phrase in quotation marks and following it with a page or line reference.

2. Sometimes you must change a word in the original—usually a pronoun or a verb tense—to make it fit the structure of your own sentence. Indicate such changes by putting the new syllable, word, or phrase in brackets.

**Original:**

“You may say what you want to, but in my opinion she had more sand in her than any girl I ever see” (p. 161).

**Quotation:**

Huck Finn claims that Mary Jane Wilkes has “more sand in her than any girl [he has] ever see[n]” (p. 161).

*Note:* Use empty brackets to show that you have omitted a verb ending.

**Plagiarism means  
presenting another  
person’s words,  
organization of material,  
or ideas as though they  
were your own.**

**12. Plagiarism (continued)**

3. Sometimes you may need to eliminate words from the original passage, for the sake of conciseness or emphasis. In this case, the change should be indicated by putting three spaced periods, called an ellipsis, in place of the missing words.

**Original:**

How weary, stale, flat, and unprofitable  
Seem to me all the uses of this world!  
Fie on't, ah fie, 'tis an unweeded garden  
That grows to seed.

(1.2.133–36)

**Quotation:** Hamlet is so depressed that he dismisses every activity in “this world” as “weary, stale . . . and unprofitable” (1.2.133–34).

If the quotation is from a published source, you must include a complete citation, with page number. The old form of citation is the footnote.

What impresses Huck Finn most about Mary Jane Wilkes is her “sand”<sup>1</sup>—her courage and integrity.

<sup>1</sup>Mark Twain, *Adventures of Huckleberry Finn*, ed. Henry Nash Smith (Boston: Houghton Mifflin Co., 1958), p. 161. All quotations are taken from this edition.

Use this complete form only the first time that you quote from the book. Afterwards, credit quotations with a page number in parentheses—or, for plays in verse, with the act, scene, and line number, as in the *Hamlet* example. There is no need for another footnote, unless you refer to another source, like a biography of the author or a critical essay on the book.

The new form, based on the Modern Language Association (MLA) guidelines, is simpler: the author’s last name and the page go after the quotation, with the full citation given at the end of the paper in the List of Works Cited.

What impresses Huck Finn most about Mary Jane Wilkes is her “sand” (Twain 161)—her courage and integrity.

Twain, Mark. *Adventures of Huckleberry Finn*. Ed. Henry Nash Smith. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Co., 1958.

*Note:* The new style was adapted by the Modern Language Association (MLA) in the mid-1980s to make the documentation of research papers in the humanities parallel that of papers in the sciences and social sciences. For a complete summary of the new style, see the *MLA Handbook for Writers of Research Papers*, fifth edition (1999).

## 12. Plagiarism (continued)

4. Electronic sources should also follow the guidelines in the *MLA Handbook for Writers of Research Papers*. An electronic version of the handbook, prepared by the Humanities Department at Capital Community College in Hartford, Connecticut, is called *A Guide for Writing Research Papers Based on Modern Language Association Documentation*. It can be found online at <http://webster.commnet.edu/mla/index.shtml>. The relevant section is “Citing Sources: Electronic Sources/Internet.” In addition to providing models of sources such as Web sites for articles, list serves, and original works, it gives useful advice about how to assure that citations follow parallel guidelines to those for printed sources. For example, the site advises breaking Web addresses only at slash marks, not at periods, and supplying information like the label on a section (“Proper formatting”) or a short version of the title (“MLA Handbook, Home Page”), especially if the source does not include an author or page numbers. The goal, as with printed sources, is to make the original material as accessible as possible to your reader.
5. Until you have thought through and written down your own ideas about a subject, avoid reading essays or books of commentary about it. It is difficult to get a professional critic’s words and ideas out of your head, or remember what your own thoughts were before you came under his or her influence. Reading critical sources too soon or too credulously is often a cause of plagiarism, unintentional as well as deliberate. **See** “Using critical sources.”
6. If you do use another writer’s idea, form of organization, or words, you must give him or her credit, even if you completely change the way that those points are phrased.

### Original:

That was the pattern of school life—a continuous triumph of the strong over the weak. Virtue consistent in winning: It consisted in being bigger, stronger, handsomer, richer, more popular, more elegant, more unscrupulous than other people—in dominating them, bullying them, making them suffer pain, making them look foolish, getting the better of them in every way. Life was hierarchical, and whatever happened was right. There were the strong, who deserved to win and always did win, and there were the weak, who deserved to lose and always did lose, everlastingly.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>George Orwell, “Such, Such Were the Joys . . .,” *A Collection of Essays* (New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1946), p. 36.

**MLA format:** (Orwell 36) under the passage, and at the end, a bibliographical entry: Orwell, George. “Such, Such Were the Joys. . . .” *A Collection of Essays*. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1946. 36.

## 12. Plagiarism (*continued*)

### Plagiarism of the author's ideas and order of information:

Life at school was arranged in a hierarchy, with the strongest always aiming to defeat the weakest. “Good” meant winning: having more strength and beauty and money than other people, ordering them around, embarrassing them, causing them to suffer. Winning was Right. The winners deserved their rewards, the losers their punishments—that was the neverending pattern.

*Note:* If you added a citation like either of the ones above at the end of the original paragraph, this would be a legitimate paraphrase—a summary in your own words of Orwell's ideas.

### Plagiarism of the author's words:

Some schools encourage rivalry instead of cooperation. George Orwell's description of his boarding school could apply to many other institutions. There, virtue consisted in winning. Not only were the school leaders strong, good-looking, and wealthy, they were also unscrupulous. They took pleasure in dominating their weaker classmates, bullying them, making them suffer pain, making them look foolish. No one questioned this tyranny: All believed that it was deserved by the victims as well as by the aggressors, and destined to go on everlastingly.

Notice that all of the underlined words are Orwell's. To avoid plagiarism, they must be put in quotation marks, even if a final citation is given.

### Accurate paraphrase, with quotations included:

Some schools encourage rivalry instead of cooperation. George Orwell's description of his boarding school could apply to many other institutions. There, “virtue consisted in winning.” Not only were the school leaders strong, good-looking, and wealthy, they were also “unscrupulous.” They took pleasure in “dominating” their weaker classmates, “bullying them, making them suffer pain, making them look foolish.” No one questioned this tyranny: All believed that it was “deserved” by the victims as well as by the aggressors, and destined to go on “everlastingly” (Orwell 36).

This combination of paraphrase and direct quotation has the advantages of both efficiency and precision. It allows you to sum up ideas from the original text that you consider important and also to emphasize key phrases. It implies that you understand the source and so conveys your authority to comment on it.

## 12. Plagiarism (*continued*)

If you knew that Orwell's title was an allusion to a poem, a visit to the Everypoet site, maintained by Selendy Communications, might yield the key lines: "Such such were the joys / When we all girls and boys / In our youth time were seen / On the echoing green." The contrast between William Blake's idyllic account of childhood and Orwell's bitter recollection reinforces the irony of the essay's title. The footnote for the site would read: William Blake, "The Echoing Green," *Songs of Innocence* [http://www.everypoet.com/archive/poetry/william\\_blake\\_songs\\_of\\_innocence\\_the\\_echoing\\_green.htm](http://www.everypoet.com/archive/poetry/william_blake_songs_of_innocence_the_echoing_green.htm).

See "Using quotations." See also "Page reference" and "Quotation marks" in Solving Common Writing Problems.

### Excessive Footnoting

1. Some students become so conscientious about crediting other authors that they end up footnoting every other word. Such an approach, while not incorrect, is distracting: It forces the reader to focus more on the sources than on the essay. A general guideline is that a standard research paper should have three to six footnotes per double-spaced page. If your paper looks like a quilt of quoted passages stitched together with numbers, you are probably footnoting too much.
2. If several words or ideas come from the same few pages, use a collective footnote:

<sup>2</sup>Orwell, pp. 36, 38, 42–43. MLA format: (Orwell 36, 38, 42–43).

3. If an idea is general knowledge, it may not need a footnote at all. For example:

Latin is an important source of English words.

Shakespeare wrote plays for the Globe Theater.

President Lincoln was assassinated by John Wilkes Booth.

If you do not know whether or not an idea is considered general knowledge, check to see if it is mentioned often in the sources you are consulting and, if so, whether or not it is credited in those.

4. If you are not sure if you are using the right number of footnotes or if a particular idea needs to be credited, ask your teacher.

## 12. Plagiarism

The following paragraph might be a source for a history paper on the United States' decision to drop the atomic bomb on Japan.

The first point to note is that the decision to use the weapon did not derive from overriding military considerations. Despite Truman's subsequent statement that the weapon "saved millions of lives," Eisenhower's judgment that it was "completely unnecessary" as a measure to save lives was almost certainly correct. This is not a matter of hindsight; before the atomic bomb was dropped, each of the Joint Chiefs of Staff advised that it was highly likely that Japan could be forced to surrender "unconditionally," without the use of the bomb and without an invasion. Indeed, this characterization of the position taken by the senior military advisers is a conservative one.

1. Write a brief summary of the major ideas in the passage.
2. Underline any examples of plagiarism that the following paragraph contains.

The atomic bomb was not dropped on the Japanese because of overriding military considerations. Each of the Joint Chiefs of Staff at the time said that it was very likely that Japan could be forced to surrender unconditionally without the use of the bomb.

3. Explain why the following paragraph is plagiarism.

It is clear now that the atomic bomb was not dropped on Japan primarily for military reasons. General Eisenhower's judgment at the time was sound: the bomb was not needed as a means of sparing lives.

Write two sentences of your own that include a direct quotation from the passage (see source information following Exercise 11).

4.

5.

Eliminate words from two other sentences, and indicate the omission with an ellipsis.

6.

7.



**12. Plagiarism (continued)**

Alter the verb tense or the pronoun reference in two of the sentences, and indicate the change with brackets.

8.

9.

In one sentence each, paraphrase in your own words a specific idea from the passage.

10.

11.

The source of this paragraph is an essay in a collection. The essay is titled “Demonstration of American Power to the Soviet Union.” The author is Gar Alperovitz. The collection is called *The Atomic Bomb: The Great Decision*. It was edited by Paul R. Baker and published in New York by Holt, Rinehart, and Winston in 1968 and quoted from page 62.

Turn the information above into the following forms:

12. a full footnote in the old style

13. a reference using only the author’s name and the page number in the MLA style

14. Create a reference to a primary source in the Truman Presidential Museum and Library electronic archive. The document, included in the Whistlestop Project, is titled “The Evaluation of the Atomic Bomb as a Military Weapon.” It was the Final Report of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Evaluation Board for Operation Crossroads, dated June 30, 1947. The web site is [http://www.trumanlibrary.org/whistlestop/study\\_collections/bomb/large/post\\_war\\_use/pme5-1.htm](http://www.trumanlibrary.org/whistlestop/study_collections/bomb/large/post_war_use/pme5-1.htm).